FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 2 APR 15 2015 3 4 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 Case No.: ED 15 MJ 138-Duity UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 10 Plaintiff, ORDER OF DETENTION (FED.R. CRIM. P.32.1(a)(6); 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)) 12 ISMAEL GOMBZ 13 Defendant. 14 15 The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant 16 issued by the United States District Court for the WESTERN 17 District of TEVAS _ for alleged violation(s) of the terms and 18 conditions of probation or supervised release; and 19 Having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal 20 Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a), the Court finds that: The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and 22 convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under 23 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on the following: 24 * UN KNOWN BACKGROUND 25 Bail RESOURCES 26

11

21

27

28

| | 1 | |
|-----|--------------|---|
| 1 | and/or | |
| 2 | B. () | The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and |
| 3 | | convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose a danger to the |
| 4 | · | safety of any other person or the community if released under 18 |
| 5 | | U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on the following: |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | , | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | IT THEREI | FORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending the further |
| 13 | revocation p | proceedings. |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Dated: 4/ | HONOKABLE DAVID T. BRISTOW |
| 16 | | United States Magistrate Judge |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | · | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | 2 |
| , v | i i | |